## SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES NO. 134, ORIGINAL

STATE OF NEW JERSEY,	)	
Plaintiff	)	ORIGINAL
V.	)	OMOMA
STATE OF DELAWARE,	) )	*
Defendant	)	

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE before SPECIAL MASTER RALPH I. LANCASTER, JR., ESQ., held at the law offices of Pierce Atwood at One Monument Square, Portland, Maine, on July 11, 2006, commencing at 10:00 a.m., before Claudette G. Mason, RMR, CRR, a Notary Public in and for the State of Maine.

## APPEARANCES:

For the State of New Jersey: RACHEL J. HOROWITZ, ESQ.

JOHN R. RENELLA, ESQ. DEAN JABLONSKI, ESQ. AMY C. DONLON, ESQ.

For the State of Delaware: DAVID C. FREDERICK, ESQ.

SCOTT H. ANGSTREICH, ESQ. SCOTT K. ATTAWAY, ESQ.

COLLINS J. SEITZ, JR., ESQ.

Also Present: MARK E. PORADA, ESQ.

## PROCEEDINGS

SPECIAL MASTER: Good morning, counsel.

We'll start, again, as we have each time before.

At this end, we have the court reporter, Claudette

Mason, and Mark Porada. And I'm Ralph Lancaster,

the Special Master.

I would ask counsel to enter appearances, including all of the people who are with you, even if they're not going to participate orally, starting with New Jersey.

MS. HOROWITZ: Yes, thank you. This is
Rachel Horowitz, Deputy Attorney General. And
also here are John Renella, Deputy Attorney
General, Dean Jablonski, Deputy Attorney General,
and Amy Donlon, Deputy Attorney General.

SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Ms. Horowitz.

Mr. Frederick?

MR. FREDERICK: David Frederick for Delaware in Washington, D.C. with Scott Angstreich and Scott Attaway.

MR. SEITZ: And this is C. J. Seitz along with Matt Boyer for Delaware in Delaware.

SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, counsel.

I appreciate that earlier counsel had suggested that this conference call -- that this

THE REPORTING GROUP

conference call be continued because of, first, the flood and then the furlough. But it seemed to me that with the flood having abated and the furlough having disappeared, that it made sense for us to conference this morning. I have received, as I assume counsel for New Jersey has, Delaware's progress report submitted on July 7.

And perhaps we could start with New Jersey.

And, Ms. Horowitz, could you tell us where we stand with regard to New Jersey's responses to Delaware's request for production of documents and Delaware's interrogatories.

MS. HOROWITZ: Yes, thank you.

We talked to Delaware counsel yesterday afternoon about the situation. And we are on track to get everything out by the end of this week. And there were certain things we could get out sooner, but we were hoping to do it in a comprehensive and more thorough way. And realistically it looks like that can happen by Friday. And Delaware has been very cooperative and understanding, and we appreciate that very much.

SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you, Ms. Horowitz. I want to be cooperative and understanding, too, but

perhaps I can probe this just a little bit. The responses — the answers to interrogatories and the document production were due on June 30. The office buildings in Trenton were closed on June 29 because of the flood. Then that was extended by the Governor's executive order on July 1. But it seems to me that you would have had your responses to your document requests and your answers to interrogatories ready to go on July 1 because they were due on June 30. So I'm a little puzzled by why New Jersey needs the rest of the week. And I'm not pressing that; I'm just curious about it.

MS. HOROWITZ: Well, going back to the week of the flood, Mr. Lancaster, on Wednesday right after lunch we were told to leave the building because of the flooding situation. So we lost half of Wednesday as well as Thursday and Friday because of the flood. And what that meant is that the people that were -- the copying people on the support staff were gone. And they have been out. And then there was the furlough situation, which also meant that they were out. So just with respect to the logistics of getting things copied and Bates, that has been, I would say, the major

reason why we're looking at Friday as a realistic time in order to just get all of the paperwork out. Obviously in terms of verbal responses, that is not so much the issue. It's just the logistics of copying and mailing and so forth which does need support staff which were furloughed and also evacuated from the building shortly after noon on Wednesday, June 28.

SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Frederick, are you comfortable -- Ms. Horowitz has said that you have talked and that you're being cooperative again with regard to the production and the answers to interrogatories. Does this pose a problem to you, waiting until the end of the week?

MR. FREDERICK: No, I don't think so, Mr. Lancaster.

We have also spoken to New Jersey counsel about adjusting other deadlines that are triggered off of receipt of documents and responses. And we have agreed with New Jersey to adjust the schedule going forward. I think that the practical effect is that we will have lost probably two weeks in this process; but our view is that that's not a material amount of time given what we're addressing here in this case.

SPECIAL MASTER: Right. Let me just ask for clarification on that. When you say that that's not material, are you talking about material in the sense that it will compromise -- will not compromise your ability to keep to the schedule that we have established in the Case Management Plan; or are you anticipating any possible problems with the rest of the schedule?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My -- when I say MR. FREDERICK: No. material, I'm thinking of it in the larger sense of resolving this case within, you know, a several-year period from beginning to end. think it will affect the schedules in the Case Management Plan, and we have spoken to New Jersey about those deadlines. And our suggestion is -and New Jersey has, I think, accepted this and proposed this back to us -- that we would go through the other dates anticipated in the Case Management Plan and just move them by two weeks essentially. I mean, we have got specific dates that we can jointly propose to you, Mr. Lancaster; but I think that that -- that's a reasonable accommodation to the situation that New Jersey has had to deal with.

SPECIAL MASTER: Well, what may not -- what

may not be material to counsel may be material to the Special Master. I am concerned about delaying this. And you talk in terms of multiple years.

I'm concerned about -- and I established the schedule originally in order to try to get this done in as short a time period as possible and as reasonable a time period as possible. And none of us, of course, could have anticipated the flood.

And I appreciate that -- what Ms. Horowitz just told me that the Trenton statehouse was cleaned out on Wednesday. I hadn't understood that.

MR. FREDERICK: Mr. Lancaster, if I could just interpose, when I said multiple years, I mean the case, of course, started last summer of -- you know, a number of months before you were appointed Special Master. And even with the schedule that you have outlined, I presume that there will be some time for you to make your decision and to write your report. There would be some time for the parties to file exceptions with the court itself. There would be some time for the briefing of that and argument of that and the decision by the justices. And my comment about looking at it in the global sense, that that whole process is going to take several years, not that the Special

Master phase of the case would take several years.
So I wanted the record to be clear about that.

SPECIAL MASTER: Thank you for that clarification. The Special Master has a narrower universe, I think, than you had in mind because the Special Master is concerned not with how long the court takes, but with how long the Special Master takes. And I intend to try to keep your feet to the fire and intend to try to keep to the schedule that we have established as much as possible.

Well, with that said, when will I anticipate hearing from counsel with a suggested revision?

MS. HOROWITZ: We can do that later today since we're in agreement with Delaware on the suggestion. Essentially, as Mr. Frederick indicated, all it would involve is moving the various dates that are in the Case Management Order now out two weeks. In some cases it's out less. It's out ten days in certain instances. But that's the essence of it.

So the end date would be moved to December 11 as opposed to November 30. The end date for filing of motions for summary judgment or to dismiss, that is.

SPECIAL MASTER: Let me suggest, counsel -- and that's fine. I will hear some -- hear from you this afternoon.

Let me suggest to counsel that you confer again and take a look -- a hard look at trying to keep the end date as we now have it and still work within that time frame to accommodate your needs perhaps by shortening a few days here or a few days there on specific items. If that's impossible, I will try to be understanding. But I would like to keep the end date, if it's possible.

So I would appreciate it if you would talk, counsel, again and see if there's some way to accommodate me in that regard.

Now, as I said earlier, we have Delaware's progress report. Let me ask you, Mr. Frederick, is there anything you wish to add to or supplement that progress report in any way?

MR. FREDERICK: No.

SPECIAL MASTER: Ms. Horowitz, obviously you haven't had time to prepare a progress report.

And with Mr. Frederick's agreement, I will suggest that we don't burden you further with an additional progress report at this time looking forward to the next report in August.

Mr. Frederick, is that acceptable to you? 1 MR. FREDERICK: Certainly. 2 SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, all right. Well, then 3 let's turn -- unless there's something else that 4 counsel want to raise, let's turn to the schedule 5 for the next progress report and the next 6 conference call. The next -- by my calendar, the 7 next progress reports are due on August 7 and 8 September 5; and the next conference calls are due 9 10 to be held on August 8 and September 6. I suggest, looking at my calendar, that we set 11 October 2 for the next progress report after that 12 and October 3 for the next conference call. 13 Jersey, is that -- is that doable with you? 14 MS. HOROWITZ: Yes, that's fine. Thank you. 15 SPECIAL MASTER: Mr. Frederick? 16 17 MR. FREDERICK: I have no objection to that, 18 although I would just note that October 2 is Yom 19 Kippur. 20 SPECIAL MASTER: Is that a problem for anyone 21 because if it is, we can accommodate it. 22 MR. FREDERICK: We have people that will be 23 affected by that holiday. 24 SPECIAL MASTER: Yes, I understand that. Ιf 25 there's anybody on this conference call who

1 would --MS. HOROWITZ: Yes. I won't be here, so I'll 2 make arrangements for someone else to handle that. 3 SPECIAL MASTER: Okay. That's fine. Then we'll set them for October 2 and October 3. 5 Is there anything else that counsel want to 6 7 discuss today? Ms. Horowitz? 8 MS. HOROWITZ: Nothing at this end other 9 10 than, again, we do appreciate your forbearance with our situation. 11 12 SPECIAL MASTER: Well, I'm sorry that you found yourself in that situation. And I have to 13 14 tell you I'm still puzzled by what a furlough 15 means. I have looked at Executive Order 17, and I 16 have looked at the statutory provision that 17 Governor Corzine cited as authority for what he 18 did; and I probably will never understand it. And 19 I'm not going to push the question at all; but I 20 am puzzled by it, anyway. 21 Delaware, anything further? 22 MR. FREDERICK: No.

We have had this small glitch with the flood and then the furlough. I think it was Herodotus who

SPECIAL MASTER: Well, counsel, thank you.

23

24

25

said neither snow, nor hail, nor something else --floods, I guess, we could add to that now. I continue to be pleased with and grateful for the cooperative and collaborative efforts of counsel. And with your help, we will continue to try to stay as close to the schedule as possible. I look forward to hearing from you later this afternoon. Thank you very much. MS. HOROWITZ: Thank you. MR. FREDERICK: Thank you. (The conference was concluded at 10:16 a.m.) 

CERTIFICATE I, Claudette G. Mason, a Notary Public in and for the State of Maine, hereby certify that the foregoing pages are a correct transcript of my stenographic notes of the above-captioned Proceedings that were reduced to print through Computer-aided Transcription. I further certify that I am a disinterested person in the event or outcome of the above-named cause of action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF I subscribe my hand this day Claudelle D'Mason Notary Public My Commission Expires June 9, 2012.